

# Child and Family Services Reviews Summary of Findings Form

February 2002

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families Administration on Children, Youth and Families Children's Bureau

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FORM

**Purpose.** The purposes of this form include the following:

- To provide a preliminary assessment of the strengths and needs of the State's child and family services system prior to the onsite review, by analyzing information from the State's CFSP and Statewide Assessment on the form
- To provide a format for each local review team to identify its collective findings based on the activities conducted by the team in a particular review site
- To provide a format for recording information obtained during the onsite review applicable to the outcomes and systemic factors being reviewed, including information obtained from case reviews and stakeholder interviews
- To provide a format for all the local review teams to consolidate their information into one comprehensive report to the State agency
- When completed, following the onsite review, to serve as the final report of the review to the State agency that includes the summary of the review findings and the determination of substantial conformity
- Organization. The form includes sections for each of the three outcome areas and each of the seven systemic areas being reviewed. For each outcome listed, subsections are provided to record the number of cases reviewed by the team according to the degree to which the outcome was determined by the reviewers to be achieved, followed by a list of each indicator used to evaluate the outcome. For each systemic factor listed, subsections are provided to record the State's substantial conformity, or lack thereof, followed by the State plan requirements used to evaluate each systemic factor. The form also includes sections used to record areas determined by the review team to be operating in substantial conformity with applicable State plan requirements and those determined not to be in substantial conformity.
- Instructions. Each review team member should receive a copy of the form that includes the preliminary assessment information. However, each local review team will submit only one completed form that includes the results of the local team's findings of the onsite review as compiled at the local team's final debriefing. The local team leader must ensure that the form is completed and submitted by the end of the onsite review. For each outcome (sections I—III), the team should record the number of cases they reviewed in which that particular outcome was determined to be substantially achieved, partially achieved or not being achieved or addressed by the agency under review. Each systemic factor (sections IV—X) should be marked by the team as substantially conforming to State plan requirements or not substantially conforming. Each performance indicator (items 1–45) following the outcomes and systemic factors should be judged by the team as primarily a strength or primarily an area needing improvement, recognizing there may some identified strengths and needs within any one indicator. The basis for rating each performance indicator should be specific, should substantiate the rating, and should note the source of the information, i.e., case reviews, stakeholder interviews, or the self-assessment. In the debriefing of the entire State review team near the conclusion of the onsite review, the team should complete one consolidated form that incorporates the findings of each local team and includes and addresses the information on the preliminary assessment. The team should complete the final two sections of the form (sections XI and XII), indicating those areas determined to be operating in substantial conformity with applicable requirements and those areas not in substantial conformity. The team will identify the specific performance indicators needing improvement in each area determined not to be in substantial conformity, to assist the State in developing its program improvement plan. Following the onsite review, t

# I. SAFETY

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.								
Number of cases reviewed by the team according to degree of outcome achievement:								
	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Total Number	Total Percentage			
Substantially Achieved:								
Partially Achieved:								
Not Achieved or Addressed:								
Not Applicable:								
<b>Conformity of Statewide data indicators</b>	with national stan	dards:						
	National Standar	d State's F	ercentage	Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard			
Repeat maltreatment								
Maltreatment of children in foster care								
		•	•	<del>:</del>				

Item 1	Item 1. Timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of child maltreatment								
	Strength	_ Area Needing Improvement							
Basis:									

Item 2. Repeat maltreatment					
Strength Area Needing	Improvement				
Basis:					
Outcome S2: Children are safely maints				opriate.	
Number of cases reviewed by the team a				T	Г
	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Total Number	Total Percentage
Substantially Achieved:					
Partially Achieved:					
Not Achieved or Addressed:					
Not Applicable:					
14 2 6 1 4 6 11 4 4 11	116	1			
Item 3. Services to family to protect chil	ia(ren) in nome a	and prevent remo	ovai		
Strength Area Needing	Improvement				

Strength Area Needing	; Improvement						
Basis:							
II. PERMANENCY							
Outcome P1: Children have permanene							
Number of cases reviewed by the team a	eccording to degr	ee of	outcome ac	hievement:	•		
	Team 1	]	Гeam 2	Team	3	<b>Total Number</b>	Total Percentage
Substantially Achieved:							
Partially Achieved:							
Not Achieved or Addressed:							
Not Applicable:							
Conformity of Statewide data indicators	s with national st	anda	rds:		•		
	National Stand	lard	State's Pe	rcentage	Med	ets Standard	Does Not Meet
	1 vational Stant						Standard
Foster care re-entries	Trational Stant						Standard
							Standard
Foster care re-entries  Length of time to achieve reunification  Length of time to achieve adoption	Tvational Stant						Standard

Item 4. Risk of harm to child

Item 5. Foster care	re-entries
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 6. Stability of 1	foster care placement
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 7. Permanency	goal for child
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Item 8. Reunification	on, Guardianship, or Permanent Placement With Relatives
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 9. Adoption	
item 3. Adoption	
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 10. Permanen	cy goal of other planned permanent living arrangement
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.							
Number of cases reviewed by the team according to degree of outcome achievement:							
	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Total Number	Total Percentage		
Substantially Achieved:							
Partially Achieved:							
Not Achieved or Addressed:							
Not Applicable:							
Item 11. Proximity of foster care placen	nent						
Strength Area Needing	Improvement						
Basis:							

Basis:	
Item 12. Placeme	ent with siblings
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Item 13. Visiting with p	parents and siblings in foster care
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 14. Preserving con	nnections
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 15. Relative place	ment
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

ith parents				
Improvement				
BEING				
l capacity to pro	vide for their chi	ldren's needs.		
ccording to degr	ee of outcome ac	chievement:		
Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Total Number	Total Percentage
uanta fastan nan	a <b>m4</b> a			
rents, foster par	ents			
Improvement				
	Improvement  Capacity to procording to degram 1  Team 1	Improvement  Capacity to provide for their chiccording to degree of outcome action and the second se	Improvement  EING  Capacity to provide for their children's needs.  ccording to degree of outcome achievement:  Team 1 Team 2 Team 3  rents, foster parents	Improvement  EEING  Capacity to provide for their children's needs.  ccording to degree of outcome achievement:  Team 1 Team 2 Team 3 Total Number  rents, foster parents

Item 18. Child and	I family involvement in case planning
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 19. Worker v	isits with child
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 20. Worker v	isits with narants
item 20. Worker v	isits with parents
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Outcome WB2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.							
Number of cases reviewed by the team according to degree of outcome achievement:							
	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Total Number	<b>Total Percentage</b>		
Substantially Achieved:							
Partially Achieved:							
Not Achieved or Addressed:							
Not Applicable:							

item 21. Educational needs of the child					
	Strength	Area Needing Improvement			
Basis.					

Outcome WB3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.								
Number of cases reviewed by the team according to degree of outcome achievement:								
	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	<b>Total Number</b>	<b>Total Percentage</b>			
Substantially Achieved:								
Partially Achieved:								
Not Achieved or Addressed:								
Not Applicable:								
·								
Item 22. Physical health of the child								
	_							
Strength Area Needing	Improvement							
ъ :								
Basis:								
Item 23. Mental health of the child								
Strength Area Needing	Improvement							

Basis:

## IV. STATEWIDE INFORMATION SYSTEM

Rating of Review Team Regarding Substantial Conformity					
Not in Substantial Conformity Substantial Conformity					
Rating	1	2	3	4	

Item 24. State is operating a Statewide information system that, at a minimum, can readily identify the status, demographic characteristics, location, and goals for the placement of every child who is (or within the immediately preceding 12 months, has been) in foster care.					
St	rength	Area Needing Improvement			
Basis:					

## V. CASE REVIEW SYSTEM

Rating of Review Team Regarding Substantial Conformity					
Not in Substantial Conformity Substantial Conformity					
Rating	1	2	3	4	

	process that ensures that each child has a written case plan to be developed jointly with the child's hat includes the required provisions.
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
	process for the periodic review of the status of each child, no less frequently than once every 6 months, court or by administrative review.
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Item 27.	hearing in a qual	is that ensures that each child in foster care under the supervision of the State has a permanency ified court or administrative body no later than 12 months from the date the child entered foster requently than every 12 months thereafter.
St	rength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:		
Item 28.	Provides a proces and Safe Families	s for termination of parental rights proceedings in accordance with the provisions of the Adoption s Act.
St	rength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:		
Item 29.		ss for foster parents, preadoptive parents, and relative caregivers of children in foster care to be ave an opportunity to be heard in, any review or hearing held with respect to the child.
St	rength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:		

# VI. QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM

Rating of Review Team Regarding Substantial Conformity					
Not in Substantial Conformity Substantial Conformity					
Rating	1	2	3	4	

Item 30.	The State has developed and implemented standards to ensure that children in foster care are provided quality services that protect the safety and health of the children.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 31.	The State is operating an identifiable quality assurance system that is in place in the jurisdictions where the services included in the CFSP are provided, evaluates the quality of services, identifies strengths and needs of the service delivery system, provides relevant reports, and evaluates program improvement measures implemented.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

# VII. TRAINING

Rating of Review Team Regarding Substantial Conformity					
Not in Substantial Conformity  Substantial Conformity					
Rating	1	2	3	4	

Item 32.	The State is operating a staff development and training program that supports the goals and objectives in the CFSP addresses services provided under titles IV-B and IV-E, and provides initial training for all staff who deliver these services.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 33.	The State provides for ongoing training for staff that addresses the skills and knowledge base needed to carry out their duties with regard to the services included in the CFSP.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis.	

Item 34. The State provides training for current or prospective foster parents, adoptive parents, and staff of State licensed or approved facilities that care for children receiving foster care or adoption assistance under title IV-E that addresses the skills and knowledge base needed to carry out their duties with regard to foster and adopted children.					
Strength	_ Area Needing Improvem	ent			
Basis:					
VIII. SERVICE ARRA	Y				
		v Team Regarding Substa			
	Not in Substantial Confo	ormity 	<b>Substantial Conformity</b>	I	
Rating	1	2	3	4	
Item 35. The State has in place an array of services that assess the strengths and needs of children and families and determine other service needs, address the needs of families in addition to individual children in order to create a safe home environment, enable children to remain safely with their parents when reasonable, and help children in foster and adoptive placements achieve permanency.					
Strength	_ Area Needing Improvem	ent			
Basis:					

Item 36. The serv CFSP.	vices in item 35 are accessible to families and children in all political jurisdictions covered in the State's
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 37. The serv	vices in item 35 can be individualized to meet the unique needs of children and families served by the agency.
Strength	Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

## IX. AGENCY RESPONSIVENESS TO THE COMMUNITY

Rating of Review Team Regarding Substantial Conformity					
	Not in Substantial Confo	ormity	<b>Substantial Conformity</b>		
Rating	1	2	3	4	

Item 38.	In implementing the provisions of the CFSP, the State engages in ongoing consultation with tribal representatives, consumers, service providers, foster care providers, the juvenile court, and other public and private child- and family-serving agencies and includes the major concerns of these representatives in the goals and objectives of the CFSP.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 39.	The agency develops, in consultation with these representatives, annual reports of progress and services delivered pursuant to the CFSP.
Str	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Strength	Area Needing Improvement	nt		
3:				
FOSTER AND	ADOPTIVE PARENT LICE	NSING, RECRUITN	MENT, AND RETENTIO	N
FOSTER AND				N
FOSTER AND		Team Regarding Su	MENT, AND RETENTIO  bstantial Conformity  Substantial Conform	
FOSTER AND Rating	Rating of Review	Team Regarding Su	bstantial Conformity	
	Rating of Review  Not in Substantial Confor	Team Regarding Sumity	bstantial Conformity Substantial Conform	nity
Rating  41. The State has	Rating of Review  Not in Substantial Confor	Team Regarding Sumity  2  Coster family homes a	Substantial Conformate  3	nity 4

Item 42.	The standards are applied to all licensed or approved foster family homes or child care institutions receiving title IV E or IV-B funds.
St	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 43.	The State complies with Federal requirements for criminal background clearances as related to licensing or approving foster care and adoptive placements and has in place a case planning process that includes provisions for addressing the safety of foster care and adoptive placements for children.
St	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	
Item 44.	The State has in place a process for ensuring the diligent recruitment of potential foster and adoptive families that reflect the ethnic and racial diversity of children in the State for whom foster and adoptive homes are needed.
St	rength Area Needing Improvement
Basis:	

Item 45. The State has in place a process for the effective use of cross-jurisdictional resources to facilitate timely adoptive of permanent placements for waiting children.				
Strength	Area Needing Improvement			
Basis:				

#### XI. DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMITY

For each outcome and systemic factor listed below, mark "Y" where the State is determined to be in substantial conformity and "N" where the State is determined not to be in substantial conformity. For each outcome or systemic factor marked "N," place a check beside the performance indicator, listed by item number in this form, that has been determined to be an area needing improvement.

Safety	Child and Family Well-Being	Quality Assurance System
Outcome S1	Outcome WB1	Item 30
		Item 31
Item 1	Item 17	
Item 2	Item 18	Training
	Item 19	
Outcome S2	Item 20	Item 32
		Item 33
Item 3	Outcome WB2	Item 34
Item 4		
	Item 21	Service Array
Permanency	<del></del>	·
·	Outcome WB3	Item 35
Outcome P1		Item 36
	Item 22	Item 37
Item 5	Item 23	
Item 6		Agency Responsiveness to the
Item 7	Systemic Factors	Community
Item 8	·	
Item 9	Statewide Information System	Item 38
Item 10		Item 39
	Item 24	Item 40
Outcome P2		
	Case Review System	Foster and Adoptive Parent
Item 11		Licensing, Recruitment, and
Item 12	Item 25	Retention
Item 13	Item 26	
Item 14	Item 27	Item 41
Item 15	Item 28	Item 42
Item 16	Item 29	Item 43
		Item 44
		Item 45